

## Solution to problem set 6

### Problem 1

Motion by diffusion.

- a) Using the Einstein-Stokes relationship with  $d_{protein} \approx 1 \text{ nm}$  and  $d_{bacterium} \approx 1 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , we find for the diffusion coefficients  $D_{protein} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  and  $D_{bacterium} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ .
- b) For diffusion in 3D, we have that the RMSD distance  $d_{RMSD}$  diffused after time  $t$  is given by  $\sqrt{6Dt}$ . This gives for the protein  $d_{RMSD} = 1 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , 3 mm, and 200 mm for 1 ms, 1 h, and 1 year, respectively. Similarly, for the bacterium we find  $d_{RMSD} = 0.04 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , 70  $\mu\text{m}$ , and 6 mm for 1 ms, 1 h, and 1 year, respectively. Note that this is for pure diffusion. If there is any amount of flow/drift, the transport will be much more efficient on long time and length scales.

### Problem 2

Michaelis-Menten, revisited and expanded.

- a) From the quasi steady-state assumptions we have

$$\frac{d[EP]}{dt} = 0 = k_2[ES] - k_3[EP] \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = 0 = k_1[E][S] - (k_{-1} + k_2)[ES] \quad (2)$$

Constant total enzyme concentration gives the relationship

$$[E_{tot}] = [E] + [ES] + [EP] \quad (3)$$

From equation 1, it follows that

$$[EP] = \frac{k_2}{k_3}[ES] \quad (4)$$

and from equation 2 we have that

$$[ES] = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1} + k_2}[E][S] \quad (5)$$

Plug the relationships from equation 4 and 5 into 3, we find that

$$[E_{tot}] = [E] \left( 1 + \left( 1 + \frac{k_2}{k_3} \right) \frac{k_1}{k_{-1} + k_2} [S] \right) \quad (6)$$

The rate of product formation is

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = v = k_3[EP] = k_3 \frac{k_2}{k_3}[ES] = k_2[ES] = k_2 \frac{k_1}{k_{-1} + k_2}[E][S] \quad (7)$$

where we have again used equations 1 and 2.

Using equation 6 and the fact that  $[S] \approx [S_{tot}]$ , we find from equation 7 that

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = v = \frac{k_1 k_2}{k_{-1} + k_2} [S_{tot}] \frac{[E_{tot}]}{\left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{k_2}{k_3}\right) \frac{k_1}{k_{-1} + k_2} [S_{tot}]\right)} \quad (8)$$

Collecting terms, we find

$$\frac{d[P]}{dt} = v = \frac{\frac{k_2 k_3}{k_2 + k_3} [E_{tot}] [S_{tot}]}{\frac{k_3}{k_1} \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_2 + k_3} + [S_{tot}]} \quad (9)$$

- b) Comparing this result with the standard expression from the Michaelis-Menten framework (see Lecture 14), we can identify

$$k_{cat} = \frac{k_2 k_3}{k_2 + k_3} \quad (10)$$

and

$$K_M = \frac{k_3}{k_1} \left( \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_2 + k_3} \right) \quad (11)$$

- c) For that case that  $k_2 \gg k_3$  the conversion to the product complex is very fast. In that case, we have

$$k_{cat} \approx k_3 \quad (12)$$

as the last step, product release from the enzyme-product complex, now becomes rate limiting. In addition

$$K_M \approx \frac{k_3}{k_1} \left( \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_2} \right) \quad (13)$$

For the opposite case,  $k_3 \gg k_2$ , we find

$$k_{cat} \approx k_2 \quad (14)$$

and

$$K_M \approx \left( \frac{k_{-1} + k_2}{k_1} \right) \quad (15)$$

i.e. we are back to the standard Michaelis-Menten scenario. For  $k_3 \gg k_2$  product release is very fast and the enzyme-product complex is only very briefly populated, which means we can essentially ignore it.

### Problem 3

#### DNA-nucleosome interactions.

- a) For an estimate of the bending energy, we can directly use the expression from problem set 5, problem 3:

$$E_{bend} \approx \frac{1}{2} k_B T L_p \frac{\theta}{R} = \frac{1}{2} k_B T \cdot 50 \text{nm} \frac{2\pi \cdot 1.7}{5 \text{nm}} \approx 50 k_B T \quad (16)$$

where we have used the bending persistence length of DNA  $\approx 50$  nm, the fact that radius of the DNA center line is  $\approx 5$  nm and makes 1.7 full turns.

- b) To estimate the electrostatic energy contribution from bringing 14 pairs of opposite charges into a distance of  $d = 3 \text{ \AA}$ , we simply evaluate the Coulomb energy

$$E_{Coulomb} \approx 14 \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon d} \quad (17)$$

For  $\epsilon = 80$ , this gives  $34 k_B T$ , which is less than the bending energy; under these circumstances, the formation of nucleosomes would be energetically unfavorable. For  $\epsilon = 2$ , the electrostatic energy is  $1300 k_B T$ , which is more than enough to compensate for the unfavorable bending energy. This implies that the DNA-histone interface is not fully hydrated (as in that case  $\epsilon$  should be close to 80), but likely still somewhat hydrated (as  $1300 k_B T$  is a very large energy, which would make it difficult to remove/remodel nucleosomes).